

MedHealth Review, Inc. 661 E. Main Street Suite 200-305 Midlothian, TX 76065 Ph 972-921-9094 Fax (972) 827-3707

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE NOTICE SENT TO ALL PARTIES: 11/18/15

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

The item in dispute is the prospective medical necessity of a repeat MRI of the lumbar spine.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in Orthopedic Surgery. The reviewer has been practicing for greater than 10 years.

REVIEW OUTCOME

determination/adverse determinations should be:		
Upheld	(Agree)	
○ Overturned	(Disagree)	
Partially Overturned	(Agree in part/Disagree in part)	

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the prospective medical necessity of a repeat MRI of the lumbar spine.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The patient had a history of lifting and transferring a X on the date of injury. She had been treated in the past with multiple epidural steroid injection's along with altered activities, rhizotomies, medication and therapy. The provider has noted that these treatments were not successful. The most recent complaints were documented from to reveal low back pain with radiation into the right leg. The low back pain was noted to be increasing. The patient was noted to be under treatment for multiple myeloma. Exam findings revealed painful lumbar range of motion along with tenderness and a positive straight leg raise on the right. Multiple muscle groups were noted to be weak in the right lower extremity. A prior MRI was noted to have been from Degenerative changes at L3-4 were

noted in particular. A repeat MRI was considered. Denial letters have noted the lack of apparent active recent treatment and or any increase in findings warranting another MRI.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

The patient has been noted to have multiple muscle groups that are weak in the right lower extremity along with a positive straight leg raise. The multiplicity of these findings in conjunction with the worsening of symptoms and the relatively unremarkable prior MRI supports a repeat MRI at this time. This is due to the fact that the neurologic status of this individual appears to have overall worsened. Therefore, a repeat diagnostic MRI is medically indicated as per the following reference due to there being plausible increased and significant pathology.

Reference: ODG Low Back Chapter

Indications for imaging -- Magnetic resonance imaging:

- Thoracic spine trauma: with neurological deficit
- Lumbar spine trauma: trauma, neurological deficit
- Lumbar spine trauma: seat belt (chance) fracture (If focal, radicular findings or other neurologic deficit)
- Uncomplicated low back pain, suspicion of cancer, infection, other "red flags"
- Uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, after at least 1 month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit.
- Uncomplicated low back pain, prior lumbar surgery
- Uncomplicated low back pain, cauda equina syndrome
- Myelopathy (neurological deficit related to the spinal cord), traumatic
- Myelopathy, painful
- Myelopathy, sudden onset
- Myelopathy, stepwise progressive
- Myelopathy, slowly progressive
- Myelopathy, infectious disease patient
- Myelopathy, oncology patient- Repeat MRI: When there is significant change in symptoms and/or findings suggestive of significant pathology (eg, tumor, infection, fracture, neurocompression, recurrent disc herniation)

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPAT ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDG	
AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEA GUIDELINES	RCH & QUALITY
☐ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION GUIDELINES	ON POLICIES OR
☐ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT BACK PAIN	T OF CHRONIC LOW
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA	
MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIEN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL S	
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE	GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES	
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & T GUIDELINES	REATMENT
☐ PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY	ADVISOR
☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUIPRACTICE PARAMETERS	JALITY ASSURANCE &
☐ TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES	
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL	
☐ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED N (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)	MEDICAL LITERATURE
OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRI	